## 2012-2013 Department Proposals, UIAC Modifications, Legislature Items and the Estimated UI Trust Fund Impact

UIAC modification: Dropped substantial fault, modify 5(g) in lieu of repealing, keep misconduct definition, but changed what can constitute misconduct  Why the reduction in savings? Cases denied under substantial fault (\$17 million net) not present under UIAC modification. Adding misconduct language under UIAC codifies current practice, thus no change to UI trust fund. 5(g) is difficult to	current misconduct standard but enumerate actions that qualify as misconduct to create greater clarity and add a lower threshold to disqualify a claimant from benefits when an employee's conduct does not rise to the misconduct standard. (Substantial Fault).  Repeal 5(g)	\$17 million savings in comparison to current law	D12-01 / ITEM #1 Bureau of Misconduct/Substantial Fault Legal Affairs Ser	Proposal/Legislature Item Proposal Number/Description Proposal Number/Description Proposal Number/Description Proposal Proposal/Legislature Item Contact Impact to the UI Trust the UI Fund (annually) UIA	Legislature Items NOT proposed by DWD-UIAC has yet to take	DWD Proposal-Approved by the UIAC-Legislature Letter suggests pas	DWD Proposal- Not Approved by the UIAC-Legislature Letter suggests passing DWD proposal	DWD Proposal-Approved but modified by UIAC-Legislature Letter suggests passing DWD prop	
		No significant impact in comparison to current law	<b>Modified-</b> 04/01/13 and sent to LRB	<u>a</u>	C has yet to take a position	ter suggests passing DWD proposal	etter suggests passing DWD propo	ssing DWD proposal (SSDI/UI pass	
		(\$17 million savings in comparison to current law)	Pass DWD proposal	Legislator Letter ITEMS 04/01/13  approximate impact to the UI Trust fund (annually)		osal (September 1988)	pposal	roposal (SSDI/UI pass UIAC modified proposal)	

UIAC modification: Keep quit exceptions, and change suspension period to 6xWBR  Why the reduction in UI Trust Fund savings? More claimants will requalify under UIAC modified suspension period vs DWD proposal (\$1.4 million savings vs \$13.6 million savings).  UIAC modification kept quit exceptions that would have been eliminated (\$2.7 million savings)  Change to 7(e) timeframe stayed the same (\$8.3 million savings)	Reduce number of quit exceptions from eighteen to seven. Change 7(e) to 30 days in lieu of 10 weeks, when claimant quit a new job timeframe. It would change the requalification framework from a 4x4 disqualification to a 10 times the WBR	D12-19 / ITEM #3  Quit exceptions and suspension period  Amount	Require DWD to create and publish a handbook for employees that clearly outlines the purpose of UI and under what circumstance it is granted.	ITEM #2  Standardized ER Handbook	Proposal/Legislature Item B Number/Description C
	Ailly Dallicki	Bureau of Benefits		Legislator	Bureau Contact
	\$24.6 million savings in comparison to current law (Benefit pay reduction)		No proposal		Department Proposal <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
	\$9.7 million savings in comparison to current law (Benefit pay reduction)	Modified-04/01/13 and sent to LRB			UIAC action Modification approximate impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
	(\$24.6 million savings in comparison to current law)	Pass DWD proposal	No impact		Legislator Letter ITEMS 04/01/13 <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust fund (annually)

Proposal/Legislature Item Number/Description  D12-02 / ITEM #4	Bureau of Bureau of	Department Proposal <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)	UIAC action Modification approximate impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)  Approved 04/06/13 and	Legislator Letter ITEMS 04/01/13 <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust fund (annually)  Pass DWD proposal
Increase work search from 2 to 4 and change to work search waivers  Increase work search requirements from two to at least four each week that a claimant is claiming benefits. Amend administrative code provisions regarding work search & work registration.	Bureau of Legal Affairs Janell Knutson Scott Sussman	Up to \$2.6 million in savings in comparison to current law (Benefit pay reduction)	Approved 04/06/13 and sent to LRB  (up to \$2.6 million in savings in comparison to current law)	Pass DWD proposal  (up to \$2.6 million in savings in comparison to current law)
D12-06 / ITEM #5 Redefining Dept. Error  Create statutory authority to recover benefits paid in error through redefining departmental error for purposes of waiver of recovery of improperly collected benefits.	Bureau of Benefits Amy Banicki	\$1 million in savings in comparison to current law (Benefit pay reduction)	Not approved 04/01/13	Pass DWD proposal (\$1 million in savings in comparison to current law)
SSDI/UI-cannot collect both at the same time  Individuals who apply for or receives SSDI in a given week will not be eligible for unemployment insurance unless: Previously earned wages while applying for or collecting SSDI, and provide written doctor note that states that the claimant is able and available to work despite collecting SSDI.  UIAC modification: Cannot collect UI and SSDI in same week. Not fied to BP wages, less stringent requirement on showing that truly are AA and on SSDI	Bureau of Legal Affairs Scott Sussman	TBD	Modified-04/01/13 and sent to LRB TBD	Pass <b>UIAC modified</b> proposal

Increase Department Collection abilities Increase Department Collection abilities Proposal would enable the Department to identify the accounts of delinquent debtors through a financial record match process on a quarterly basis.	CLT failure to provide information Make a claimant who fails to provide the department with information pertaining to his or her eligibility for benefits and/or demographic information ineligible to receive benefits with good cause exception	Protect Pin requirement  The claimant portal allows claimants to file on line with a username and password. This codifies the responsibility of claimants to not divulge their PIN, username and password that enable them to use the claimant portal and will eliminate fraud resulting from imposters	Proposal/Legislature Item Number/Description
Bureau of Tax & Accounting Pamela James	Bureau of Benefits Amy Banicki	Bureau of Benefits Amy Banicki	Bureau Contact
\$8 million in savings in comparison to current law (OP collections)	Unable to quantify, impact expected to be small	Unable to quantify, impact expected to be small	Department Proposal <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
Approved 02/06/13 and sent to LRB  (\$8 million in savings in comparison to current law)	Not approved 04/01/13	Approved 04/01/13 and sent to LRB (impact expected to be small)	UIAC action Modification approximate impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
Pass DWD proposal (\$8 million in savings in comparison to current law)	Pass DWD proposal (impact expected to be small)	Pass DWD proposal (impact expected to be small)	Legislator Letter ITEMS 04/01/13 <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust fund (annually)

Unable to quantify, impact expected to be small		No proposal	Legislator	DWD to provided clt contact information to the ER liable for UI benefits  DWD to provided clt contact information to the ER liable for UI benefits
	current law)			Why no significant impact for both proposals? Any change to the suspension period does not have a significant impact on the fund as there are too few cases to impact
(Less than \$50,000 annually in comparison to current law)	(Less than \$5,000 annually in comparison to	(Less than \$50,000 annually in comparison to current law)		UIAC modification: Change to 6xWBR
(No significant impact)	No significant impact	No significant impact	Arry barrion	Change 4x4 disqualification period for a job refusal to a 10xWBR
Pass DWD proposal	Modified 04/01/13 and sent to LRB		Bureau of Benefits	D12-30 / ITEM #11 Job refusal suspension period
				Why no change in savings? Too few claimants at \$55 or lower to affect.
				UIAC modification: Keep minimum at \$54
	(Benefit pay increase)	(Benefit pay increase)		would not affect any claimants that fall outside the max or the min amounts; it is not a proposed increase across-the-board.
(\$12 million reduction in comparison to current law)	\$12 million reduction in comparison to current law	\$12 million reduction in comparison to current law	Knutson Scott Sussman	Minimum amount to be increased to \$55 per week or an increase of \$1 per week.  Maximum amount to be increased to \$370 or man increase of \$7 per week. This change
Pass DWD proposal	Modified 04/01/13 and sent to LRB		Bureau of Legal Affairs	D12-31 / ITEM #10 Increase WBR
fund (annually)	approximate impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)	impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)	Personal Constitution of the Constitution of t	
Legislator Letter ITEMS 04/01/13 approximate impact to the UI Trust	UIAC action Modification	Department Proposal approximate	Bureau Contact	Proposal/Legislature Item Number/Description

D12-28 / ITEM 15 LLC change-administrative change impacting ERs Discontinue treating limited liability companies with the same members as a single employer.	D12-23 / ITEM #14 DOT data sharing  The Department currently has a data sharing agreement with DOT/DMV but pursuant to statute the Department cannot look up an individual by their social security numbers.	License renewal cross-match-collection tool  Authorize the Department to require license holders to be current on their UI taxes or face non-renewal, discontinuation, suspension or revocation	D12-20 / ITEM #13  Backdate claims due to phone system down  Technological advances enable a claimant to file online and not have to rely on filing a claim via the phone. Moreover, the change is necessary due to recent upgrades to Department's hardware and how it now operates.	Proposal/Legislature Item Number/Description
Bureau of Tax & Accounting Pamela James	Bureau of Tax & Accounting Pamela James	Bureau of Tax & Accounting Pamela James	Bureau of Benefits Amy Banicki	Bureau Contact
No impact	Unable to quantify	Unable to quantify	No impact	Department Proposal <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
Approved 01/17/13 and sent to LRB (No impact)	Approved 01/17/13 and sent to LRB Unable to quantify	Approved 01/17 and sent to LRB Unable to quantify	Not approved 04/01/13	UIAC action Modification approximate impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
Pass DWD proposal (No impact)	Pass DWD proposal Unable to quantify	Pass DWD proposal  Unable to quantify	Pass DWD proposal (No impact)	Legislator Letter ITEMS 04/01/13 <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust fund (annually)

Tardy filing fee change- administrative change impacting ERs  Increase the tardy filing fee for employers to \$100 or \$20/ee whichever is greater, but if the employer later files the required report the fee may be decreased to \$50 for each delinquent artly report.	Interest write-off when appropriate- administrative change impacting ERs  Department to write-off interest when deemed appropriate by the Bureau of Tax and Accounting when an employer later files the required report or makes the required payment and satisfies the Department that the report or payment was tardy due to circumstances beyond the employer's control.	Successorship paperwork- administrative change impacting ERs  Enable Department to have some flexibility when an employer is late in getting its paperwork to the Department with respect to optional successorship applications.  But Leg Mik	Proposal/Legislature Item E Number/Description C
Bureau of Tax & Accounting Pamela James	Bureau of Tax & Accounting Pamela James	Bureau of Legal Affairs Mike Mathis Janell Knutson	Bureau Contact
No impact	No impact	No impact	Department Proposal <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
Approved 01/17/13 and sent to LRB (No impact)	Approved 01/17/13 and sent to LRB (No impact)	Approved 01/17/13 and sent to LRB (No impact)	UIAC action Modification approximate impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
Pass DWD proposal  (No impact)	Pass DWD proposal  (No impact)	Pass DWD proposal  (No impact)	Legislator Letter ITEMS 04/01/13 <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust fund (annually)

ITEM #21 More information to employer's regarding reserve fund balance	FUTA tax credit payoff  Guarantee, state to pay up to \$50mil to avoid FUTA tax for next year	ITEM #19 Create Online ER complaint system	ITEM #18 Work release programs excluded, prisoners not eligible for UI	ITEM #17 ALJ reform, searchable databases, and continuing education/training for ALJs	Cafeteria plan wages not usable Restrict payments to cafeteria plans from being included in base period wages for determination of amount of benefits paid to a claimant	Proposal/Legislature Item Number/Description
Legislator	Legislator	Legislator	Legislator	Legislator	Bureau of Tax & Accounting Pamela James	Bureau Contact
No proposal	No proposal	No proposal	No proposal	No proposal	\$.5 million savings in comparison to current law (Benefit pay reduction)	Department Proposal <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
					Approved 01/17/13 and sent to LRB  (\$.5 million in savings in comparison to current law)	UIAC action Modification approximate impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
No impact	No foreseen impact May lead to a \$191 million reduction in tax revenue in 2015 via FUTA credit reduction. if projections change.	Unable to quantify, impact expected to be small	Unable to quantify, impact expected to be small	Unable to quantify	Pass DWD proposal (\$.5 million savings in comparison to current law)	Legislator Letter ITEMS 04/01/13  approximate impact to the UI Trust fund (annually)



**though no trust fund impact, will reduce taxes		No proposal		Have GPR cover interest payments on Trust Fund Loans
No foreseen impact**			Legislator	ITEM #27 SAFI Reimbursement
Unable to quantify, impact expected to be small		No proposal	Legislator	ITEM #26 Standardized Witness forms that will hold up in hearings
Unable to quantify, impact expected to be small		No proposal		Temporary Agency WS requirement: If last ER or BP ER is a temp agency, make it a requirement that the CLT contacts them every week for work
			Legislator	ITEM #25 Temp agency work search
\$8.1 million in savings in comparison to current practice (Benefit pay reduction)		No proposal	Legislator	ITEM #24 Eliminate ET benefits
No impact		No proposal		Space out required reports throughout the year
			Legislator	ITEM #23 Timing of required reports
At least \$.4 million in savings in comparison to current law (Benefit pay reduction)		No proposal	Legislator	ITEM #22 Random UI Work Search Audits
Legislator Letter ITEMS 04/01/13 <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust fund (annually)	UIAC action Modification approximate impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)	Department Proposal <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)	Bureau Contact	Proposal/Legislature Item Number/Description

ITEM #31 Increase lowest reserve percentage Increase lowest Reserve Percentage- Increase max rate to a total of 12% in 2 additional brackets	Link weeks of eligibility to unemployment rate  Link eligibility weeks to unemployment rate# of weeks clt eligible for depends on UI rate	Employer notification of a work search log Employer notification of work search-when clt have to log ER that they searched for, the ER would get info that they were used in a work search-Fraud deterrent	Holiday a nonworking day for UI benefit purposes  Legal Holidays to be considered, "nonworking" days, assuming that if a cit filed due to a holiday closure, that they would not be eligible for benefits for that day.	Proposal/Legislature Item Number/Description
Legislator	Legislator	Legislator	Legislator	Bureau Contact
No proposal	No proposal	No proposal	No proposal	Department Proposal <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
				UIAC action Modification approximate impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
\$26 million increase in tax revenue in comparison to current law (savings)	\$86.6 million savings (benefit pay reduction)  \$28.9 million reduction in tax revenue Net total: \$57.7 million savings	Unable to quantify	TBD	Legislator Letter ITEMS 04/01/13 <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust fund (annually)

ITEM #33  Repeal Loss of License Claimants who lose their license due to fault needed to perform the job be ineligible for UI	ITEM #32 Increase fraud workers by 3 Legislator	Proposal/Legislature Item Bureau Number/Description Contact
tor No proposal	tor No proposal	u Department Proposal ct <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
		UIAC action Modification  approximate impact to the UI Trust Fund (annually)
No significant impact	TBD	Legislator Letter ITEMS 04/01/13 <u>approximate</u> impact to the UI Trust fund (annually)

			**As of what is available-some items TBD
\$57.2 million net reduction in 2015 (possible)**	\$8.8 million savings**	\$41.7 million savings**	APPROXIMATE NET TOTAL****
\$133.8 million savings**			
\$29 million \$220 million in 2015 (possible)	\$0	\$0	Tax revenue decrease (UI trust fund reduction)
\$12 million	\$12 million	\$12 million	Benefit pay increase (UI trust fund reduction)
\$26 million	\$0	\$0	Tax revenue increase (UI Trust fund savings)
\$8 million	\$8 million	\$8 million	OP collections savings (UI tax or benefits savings)
\$140.8 million	\$12.8 million	\$45.7 million	Benefit pay decrease (UI trust fund savings)
Ul Trust Fund (Legislator)	UI Trust Fund (UIAC)	UI Trust Fund (Dept.)	TOTAL UI FISCAL IMPACT (approx.)

\*Approximate OI I rust Fund impact in comparison to CURRENT law. If Item #30 passes, this <u>will CHANGE all</u> benefit riscal estimates.